

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		•		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/727,181	12/02/2003	Richard Thomas Plunkett	PEA01	6713
24011 SII VERBROO	7590 11/20/2007 OK RESEARCH PTY LTD		EXAM	INER
393 DARLING STREET			KAU, STEVEN Y	
BALMAIN, 2041 AUSTRALIA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTRALIA			2625	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/727,181	PLUNKETT ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Steven Kau	2625		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHO WHIC - Exten after - If NO - Failur Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the torephy within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become AB ANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 Section</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the prac	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositi	on of Claims	•			
5) □ 6) ⋈ 7) □ 8) □ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 01 June 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the	r election requirement. er.)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2) Notic 3) Infor	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. This action is responsive to the following communication: an Amendment filed on September 14, 2007.
 - Claims 1 to 5 have been amended.
 - Claims 1-5 are currently pending.
 - Applicant's arguments filed on September 14, 2007 have been fully considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by o(US 5,166,809).

Regarding Claim 1.

Surbrook discloses A method for sequentially outputting (e.g. sequentially obtain a set of dither space coordinates, col 42, lines 3-11) full lines (e.g. a 8x8 dither matrix, full line of reading is 1 to 8, col 21, lines 33-42) of dither values of a dither matrix stored in a memory (Figs. 1, 2 & 4, col 4, lines 40-60), comprising the step of: (a) reading a

Art Unit: 2625

plurality of dither values of the dither matrix from the memory (Surbrook discloses that the digital processing system simply accesses the threshold values stored at r.sub.i, r.sub.j and compares the threshold value stored at that position of dither matrix with the

initial start position in the memory until a full line of dither values of the dither matrix has

intensity value at the continuous tone address, col 17, lines 20-29) commencing at an

been read (col 17, lines 20-29); (b) outputting the full line of dither values read in step

(a) (col 42, lines 3-11 and col 21, lines 33-42); (c) updating the initial start position to an

updated start position in the memory of a subsequent line of dither values (col 21, lines

33-42); (d) reading a plurality of dither values from the memory (col 17, lines 20-29),

commencing at the updated start position until the full subsequent line of dither values

has been read (col 21, lines 33-42); (e) outputting the full line of dither values read in

step (d) (col 17, lines 20-29); and (f) repeating steps (c)-(e) until all lines of dither values

of the dither matrix have been read and output (Surbrook discloses a entire dithering

continuous tone processing by obtaining dither element value, comparing continuous

tone signal with dither element value sequentially described col 21, lines 33-42. The

dithering process repeats steps (c) to (e) for the entire continuous tone dithering

process, col 16, lines 14-40).

Regarding Claim 4.

Surbrook discloses wherein in repeated step (c), it is determined whether dither values at an end position in the memory have been read, and if so, the updated start position is updated to the initial start position (Surbrook discloses a dithering values are stored in memory and dither matrix values are repeat applied, this implies that memory

Art Unit: 2625

positioning must be updated as long as dithering process is on going; col 17, lines 20-29 and col 23, lines 33-42).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2, 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Surbrook (US 5,166,809) in view of Matsuba et al (Matsuba) (US 5,815,286).

Regarding Claim 2.

Surbrook differs from claim 2, in that he does not teach reading a plurality of dither values from at least two of the dither matrices simultaneously.

Matsuba discloses reading a plurality of dither values from at least two of the dither matrices simultaneously (Matsuba discloses four threshold matrix memories 101 through 104, which store four threshold matrices. Four threshold data TD1 through TD4 are simultaneously read out from respective threshold memories, col 35, lines 25-39).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Surbrook to include reading a plurality of dither values from at least two of the dither matrices simultaneously taught by Matsuba and

Art Unit: 2625

therefore, four color components can be processed with respect to four threshold matrices value at the same time (Figs 21A-D, col 20, lines 21-32).

Regarding Claim 3.

Surbrook differs from claim 3, in that he does not teach wherein the dither matrices are of different sizes.

Matsuba discloses wherein the dither matrices are of different sizes (Figs. 1a-c).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Surbrook to include that dither matrices are of different sizes taught by Matsuba and therefore, four color components can be processed with respect to four threshold matrices value at the same time (Figs 21A-D, col 20, lines 21-32).

Regarding Claim 5.

Surbrook discloses wherein in repeated step (c) it is determined whether dither values at an end position in the memory have been read for each of the dither matrices, and if so, the updated start position is updated to the initial start position (Surbrook discloses a dithering values are stored in memory and dither matrix values are repeat applied, this implies that memory positioning must be updated as long as dithering process is on going; col 17, lines 20-29 and col 23, lines 33-42).

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 2625

2625

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Kau whose telephone number is 571-270-1120 and fax number is 571-270-2120. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, from 8:30 am -5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, King Poon can be reached on 571-272-7440. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

∕S″. Kau

Patent Examiner

Division: 2625

November 14, 2007

KING Y. POON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER